

SCOTT W. KELLEY

WOODLAND HILLS CA 91367



UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE |    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | - 1 | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----|----------------------|-----|---------------------|
| 09/765,5        | 33 01/19/   | 01 | HOWLETT-CAMPANELLA   | Н   | HOWLETT-3828        |

QM12/1009

KELLY BAUERSFELD LOWRY & KELLEY, LLP 6320 CANOGA AVENUE, SUITE 1650

**EXAMINER** AMERSON, L

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3764

**DATE MAILED:** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| ·  |   | HOWLETT-CAMPANELLA, HELEN  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | 09/765,533  | HARDMAN  |  |  |  |
|  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication   | Lori Baker Amerson  | ith the correspondence address   |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply   | appears on the cover sheet w  | in the correspondence address  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stream of the provided by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |   |  |  |  |  |
| ,  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   | •  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applica   | ation.  | ***  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with  | drawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an  | nd/or election requirement.   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   | ·  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam   | niner.  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a   | ccepted or b) objected to by  | the Examiner.  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection t   | • , ,   |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on   | is: a)  approved b)   | disapproved by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in  | n reply to this Office action.  |  |  |  |  |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the   | e Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for  | eign priority under 35 U.S.C.   | § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docum</li> </ol>   | nents have been received.   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Certified copies of the priority docum</li></ol>   | nents have been received in A   | Application No   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the paper of the pap</li></ul> | I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom  | nestic priority under 35 U.S.C  | . § 119(e) (to a provisional application).   |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language<br>15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  JEROME W. DONNELLY  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No   | PRIMARY EXACTIVITIES Notice   | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/765,533

Art Unit: 3764

## **Priority**

If applicant desires priority under 35 U.S.C. 120 based upon a previously filed copending application, specific reference to the earlier filed application must be made in the instant application. This should appear as the first sentence of the specification following the title, preferably as a separate paragraph. The status of nonprovisional parent application(s) (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included. If a parent application has become a patent, the expression "now Patent

No.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ " should follow the filing date of the parent application. If a parent application has become abandoned, the expression "now abandoned" should follow the filing date of the parent application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edelson [4985952] in view of Dionne et al [5645494]. Edelson discloses a mat having an upper and lower surface [fig. 1] and four equal quadrants in a rectangular configuration adjacent to each other. Edelson does not disclose a mirrored pattern in each quadrant or a body placement guide on the upper surface. Dionne et al teaches a mirrored pattern in each quadrant and a placement guide for the user. Dionne also shows the pattern includes indicia [31,32,38, 30,36] positioned longitudinally at forty-five degree

Application/Control Number: 09/765,533

Art Unit: 3764

angles and comprise a line [40] extending from longitudinal axis at a forty -five degree angle. Dionne shows the design defines multiple segments of equal parallel areas transverse and longitudinal to the axis. Indicium is arranged in each quadrant and comprises intersecting lines forming ninety-degree angles. The applicant should note that a change in ornamental design having no mechanical function is an aesthetic design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Seid, 161 f.2d 229, 73 USPQ 431 (CCPA 1947).

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the device of Lammersen. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lori Baker Amerson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5576. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 8-5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (703) 308-2672. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-JEROME W. DONNELLY

5648.

PRIMARY EXAMINER